### **PATENT COOPERATION TREATY**

## **PCT**

REC'D 03 JUN 2005

MileO

PCT

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicantle or acentle file reference		·			
Applicant's or agent's file reference PU030170	FOR FURTHER A	CTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416		
International application No. International filing PCT/US2004/018763 15.06.2004		(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 16.06.2003		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC H04N7/24, H04N7/50					
Applicant THOMSON LICENSING S.A. e	et al				
This report is the international Authority under Article 35 an	al preliminary examination red d transmitted to the applican	eport, established by the according to Article 3	ls International Preliminary Examining 6.		
This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.					
1 _	· · ·				
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).					
☐ sheets which sup beyond the disclo Supplemental Bo	osure in the international app	hich this Authority cons dication as filed, as ind	siders contain an amendment that goes icated in item 4 of Box No. I and the		
sequence issuing and/	nal Bureau only) a total of (i or tables related thereto, in c ence Listing (see Section 80	computer readable form	er of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a only, as indicated in the Supplemental instructions).		
4. This report contains indication	ons relating to the following it	tems:			
☑ Box No. I Basis of the	e opinion				
☐ Box No. II Priority	<b>-</b>				
1	lishment of opinion with rega	ard to novelty, inventive	step and industrial applicability		
	ity of invention	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	otop and industrial applicability		
applicabilit	y; citations and explanations	2) with regard to novelt s supporting such state	y, inventive step or industrial ment		
! <u>_</u>	cuments cited				
	fects in the international app				
☐ Box No. VIII Certain ob	servations on the internation	al application			
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of the	ils report		
31.03.2005		01.06.2005			
Name and malling address of the international preliminary examining authority:		Authorized Officer			
European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465		Schoeyer, M Telephone No. +49 89	2399-2136		

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/018763

	Box	x No. I Basis of the report			
1.	With filed	th regard to the <b>language</b> , this d, unless otherwise indicated (	report is based on the international application in the language in which it was under this item.		
		This report is based on trans which is the language of a tr	slations from the original language into the following language , anslation furnished for the purposes of:		
	<ul> <li>□ international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))</li> <li>□ publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4)</li> <li>□ international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)</li> </ul>				
have been		th regard to the <b>elements*</b> of the second to the receive out as "originally filed" and are	the international application, this report is based on <i>(replacement sheets which ving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this on not annexed to this report)</i> :		
	Des	scription, Pages			
	1-18	5	as originally filed		
	Cla	alms, Numbers			
	1-18	5	as originally filed		
	Dra	Drawings, Sheets			
	1/5-	-5/5	as originally filed		
		a sequence listing and/or an	y related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing		
3.		☐ The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:			
		<ul><li>☐ the description, pages</li><li>☐ the claims, Nos.</li></ul>			
		☐ the drawings, sheets/figs			
		☐ the sequence listing (specify): ☐ any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):			
4.	□ had Su	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).			
		<ul><li>☐ the description, pages</li><li>☐ the claims, Nos.</li></ul>			
		☐ the drawings, sheets/figs			
		☐ the sequence listing (spe ☐ any table(s) related to se	ecify): equence listing <i>(specify)</i> :		
	*	If item 4 applies, so	ome or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."		

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/018763

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

Claims

Claims

No:

No:

1-15

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-15

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-15

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

#### Re Item V.

)

1 The following documents are referred to in this communication:

D1: KARCZEWICZ M ET AL: "A Proposal for SP-frames" ITU TELECOMMUNICATIONS STANDARDIZATION SECTOR VCEG-L27, XX, XX, 9 January 2001 (2001-01-09), pages 1-9, XP002287038;

D2: HORN U ET AL: "Robust Internet video transmission based on scalable coding and unequal error protection" SIGNAL PROCESSING. IMAGE COMMUNICATION, ELSEVIER SCIENCE PUBLISHERS, AMSTERDAM, NL, vol. 15, no. 1-2, September 1999 (1999-09), pages 77-94, XP004180639 ISSN: 0923-5965;

#### 2 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1

2.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not inventive in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

Document D1 discloses (see page 1, "Motivation") the provision of compressed video streams to a decoder of different bandwidth and quality.

Also document D1 shows (last paragraph) the switching between (two) bitstreams (normal and channel change streams).

Document D1 does not explicitly show the use at the encoder side of a multiplexer. However, the skilled person is well aware of the fact that if a multiple of streams are sent to a decoder they need to be multiplexed.

Thus the skilled person will immediately use a multiplexer in order to provide an encoding apparatus that is apply to perform the bitstream switching as shown in D1. The argument that in e.g. D1 only a single stream and not its accompanying switching bitstream is sent to the receiver does not render the subject-matter of claim 1 inventive because the skilled person is aware of the fact there are situations (e.g. in layered coding) in which all the information is sent to a receiver, and the receiver selects only the information it needs. Given the similarity in set-up between layered coding and switching between bitstreams (see e.g also D2) the subject-matter of claim 1 is considered to be rendered obvious by D1.

#### INDEPENDENT CLAIM 10

The subject-matter of claim 10 relates to a method in accordance with the apparatus of claim 1. Consequently the subject-matter of this claim lacks inventive step for the same reasons as set out above for claim 1.

#### **INDEPENDENT CLAIM 13**

The subject-matter of claim 13 relates to an apparatus which substantially corresponds to the encoder of claim 1. Consequently the subject-matter of this claim lacks inventive step for substantially the same reasons as set out above for claim 1.

#### **INDEPENDENT CLAIM 14**

The subject-matter of claim 13 relates to a videodisc have encoded on it data which corresponds to the data generated by the apparatus of either claim 1 or claim 13 or the method in accordance with claim 10. Consequently the subject-matter of this claim lacks inventive step for substantially the same reasons as set out above for claim 1.

#### 3 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-9, 11,12, 15

Dependent claims 2-9, 11,12, 15 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).

- low pass filtered images (as in claim 2) are known from D1 (see page 2, last paragraph)
- the downsampling (as in claims 3, 5, 11) is implicitly known from this paragraph since otherwise the transition from one stream to another having different frame sizes (resolutions) is not possible.
- inclusion of more frequent I frames (as in claim 4), -see D1 (page 1, last paragraph; figure 2);
- redundant syntax decoding (as in claim 6, 11), -common general knowledge;

- channel change pictures (as in claims 7,8, 11, 12) are known from D1 (see figure 2);
- selecting means (as in claims 9, 12) are necessary in order to select one of the two bitstreams in D1;

In general it is noted that documents D1 and D2 show the transition from one bitstream to another bitstream which represent the same content. The switching can be carried out by using I, SP or both I and SP frames. This technique is used for both random access as well as fast forward or channel switching since in all cases there is a need to wait for an I frame before the stream of interest can be watched. Obviously by having a second stream which provides I frames at a higher frequency the latency can be reduced.

#### 4 INDUSTRIAL APPLICABILITY

The subject-matter of claims 1-15 is industrially applicable in the field of bitstream switching.

#### Re Item VII.

1. Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the documents D1-D2 are not mentioned in the description.